Title of the paper: Developing students as researchers and learners in Higher Education: the experience of SADRAS

Purpose of the study

The benefits of staff-student partnerships in higher education are widely reported in the literature (Claus, Brand, Bartholomew, & Millard, 2013; Curran & Millard, 2016; Healey, Flint, & Harrington, 2014; Huet, van der Sluis, & May, 2016; Little, 2012) and they have, therefore, been reinforced and promoted in many institutions worldwide. The Higher Education Academy report “Engagement through partnership” (HEA, 2014), reports that such partnerships increase students’ engagement, their sense of belonging to the academic community, their success in learning, and that this is key to their learning gains and achievements. However, much of this evidence is based on “case-studies or anecdotal reports that students like it” (Healey, Flint, & Harrington, 2014, p.60) and the impact of these partnerships in terms of learning gains has not been extensively investigated. This paper discusses evidence from an evaluation study based in one UK teaching-focused university that examined how students’ participation in small scale pedagogical research projects, working in collaboration with staff, can promote the development of students as both researchers and as learners in Higher Education (HE).

Context

The study focused on staff-student partnerships in pedagogical or institutional research, which are shaped by the core assumption underpinning the promotion of research-based education: that students learn most effectively in a research or inquiry-based mode. We particularly share Bell’s view of students as “co-enquirers” (Bell, 2016) in the development of research and/or scholarship of teaching and learning. Healey and colleagues contend that these partnerships are a means of engaging students as partners in HE (Healey et al., 2014). According to several authors (Brew, 2013; Dickerson, Jarvis & Stockwell, 2016; Healey & Jenkins, 2009; Huet, I., Baptista & Ferreira, 2013; Huet, Baptista, Costa, Jenkins & Abelha, 2009) learning in a research environment fosters the academic and practical capabilities of students, allowing them to become more independent, autonomous and critical learners, and consequently better prepared to succeed in their studies and adapt more successfully into employment.

The Student Academic Development Research Associate Scheme (SADRAS) was initiated in 2012-13 to stimulate and support partnerships between students and staff. SADRAS encourages staff and students to undertake pedagogical or institutional research to improve the student academic experience at the university. The main aims of the scheme are to instil the student partners with a greater sense of engagement and belonging through working closely with staff, to enhance their research skills, and to develop their academic persistence and proficiency. The scheme is also aligned with institutional policies to promote a research-based education environment; foster participation and engagement among the Black and
Minority Ethnic (BME) undergraduate students; and to develop academic skills amongst all students (Noakes, May, van der Sluis & Gay, 2013; van der Sluis, May, Locke & Hill, 2013). Each year the scheme selectively funds twenty-three projects, and allocates a small budget to each to pay for student work.

Methodology

The study used an evaluation research methodology (Cohen & Manion, 2011) which had two objectives. The first was based on the pragmatic research paradigm, with the aim of reporting and enhancement of the programme. The second was based on the constructivist research paradigm in which the evaluation findings were used to build knowledge about a specific phenomenon – in this case, the learning gains for students who participated in the scheme. The different objectives led the research to use a sequential mixed method design research approach involving both quantitative and qualitative approaches (Teddle & Tashakkori, 2009; Morse, 1991).

The study participants were students and staff who engaged SADRAS in two academic years, 2014/2015 and 2016/2017. Data was collected through online questionnaires targeted to the scheme participants, analysis of text from students’ reflective blogs (2016/17) and final reports, and focus groups with staff and students (2016/2017). The quantitative study gathered participants’ perceptions of the scheme’s impact on students’ personal and academic development. The qualitative study explored the development of students as researchers and as learners in HE in more depth.

Quantitative data was analysed using both descriptive and comparative statistics. Qualitative data followed, in a first instance, a theoretical framework (theoretical propositions, Yin, 1994) and the raw data (e.g. open questions of the survey or transcripts of the interviews) was analysed through a thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The design of the study is systematised in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014/2015</th>
<th>2016/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative approach</strong></td>
<td>Data collection instruments</td>
<td>Data collection instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questionnaire to staff (n=12)</td>
<td>Questionnaire to staff (n=19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questionnaire to students (n=27)</td>
<td>Questionnaire to students (n=22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualitative approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One focus-group with staff (3</td>
<td>One focus-group with students (3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>participants) &amp;</td>
<td>participants)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final-reports (7)</td>
<td>Student blogs (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Design of the study
Key findings

The data from the questionnaires, show a consistent response over the two cohorts 2014/2015 and 2016/2017, whereby no significant difference (Fisher’s Exact Test) was found between staff and students’ level of agreement. The data shows that staff and student collaborations supported through SADRAS have acted as ‘change agents’ (Mick Healey et al., 2014) within the institution and have contributed to students’ learning gains, in particular to the development of their research competencies. For example, both staff and students identified that the SADRAS projects contributed to students’ sense of autonomy, responsibility and independence, which are core employability skills (Mason, Williams & Cranmer, 2009). Moreover, participating in ‘real research’ has stimulated the SADRAS students’ experiential understanding of social science research approaches, methodologies and methods. Students also developed competencies such as information handling, research communication skills; and cognitive abilities such as evaluation and analysis. For most students SADRAS was their first exposure to research as a core dimension of academic practice, which had sparked further interest in research, strengthened their confidence to succeed with their current studies, and stimulated their ambitions to continue with further studies in HE.

Qualitative data also revealed that the challenges faced by students as researchers in SADRAS projects were perceived by the participants as a learning gain. For example, the data collection process required persistence and resilience from students, and even the lack of communication that sometimes occurred between students or staff pushed students to become more autonomous and responsible for taking decisions.

Final Considerations

This study provided an in-depth view on the impact of SADRAS for the students who participated in the scheme. The quantitative data from two separate academic years are consistent and reinforce the value of such schemes for the participants. The findings highlight the employability benefits and learning gains that can result from staff-student partnerships that engage students to learn in a research and inquiry-based environment. These findings reinforce the previous studies conducted by Huet and colleagues (Huet, Baptista & Ferreira, 2013; Huet et al., 2009, 2016). For most students participating in SADRAS was their first exposure to research - an important aspect of academic practice – and it encouraged them to consider engaging in more research in the future. It also strengthened their confidence and engagement with their current studies, and stimulated their ambitions to continue their studies in HE. The evaluation evidence suggests that the programme outcomes provided added value to all students, not just the selected group of students who participated. The challenge now is to engage more students to work with staff in non-funded projects so as to develop a community of research-active students that value the research experience for its contribution to both their learning and lifelong employability skills.
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